

ORGANIC AGRONOMY TRAINING with Dr. Martin Entz University of Manitoba 9:00 - 10:15 am CT Jan. 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 2023 Live and recorded sessions free training; CEU credits Rotations, nutrient management Crop establishment, seeding, tillage Insects, weeds, disease Soil health Q&A, discussion **Register now:** www.organicdevelopmentfund.org AGRICULTURAL Canada

www.organicdevelopmentfund.org



The Prairie Organic Development Fund

- Investment platform established to develop organic agriculture and marketing in the Canadian Prairies
- Builds resilience in the sector by investing in
 - organic provincial associations (Capacity Fund); and
 - high impact programs (Innovation Fund) related to marketing, research, policy, education and capacity development that have broad public benefit to the organic sector.



The Prairie Organic Development Fund is grateful for the support of:

Platinum Sponsors: Grain Millers & SaskWheat Development Commission Silver Sponsors: Nature's Path, The Bauta Family Initiative on Canadian Seed Security & PHS Organics Friend: F.W. Cobs Company

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Canadian Agricultural Partnership.

www.organicdevelopmentfund.org

Martin Entz, Ph.D. Department of Plant Science Natural Systems Agriculture Lab University of Manitoba

umanitoba.ca/outreach/naturalagriculture/



www.organicdevelopmentfund.org

Lesson 2. Part 1. Seeds and seeding

- Crop cultivar selection 4
- Seed testing
- Seeding rates
- Seeding depth
- Seed size
- Intercrop options
- Forages and cover crops

Variety	Descri	ptions
---------	--------	--------

	Site		Maturity	Height	Test Wt				Re	0:		
	Years	Yield	+/-	+/-	+/-	Hull	Hull			Crown Rust	Stem ² Rust	BYD ³
Variety ¹	Tested	bu/acre	96 days	84 cm	39.3 lb/bu	%	Colour	Lodging	Smut			
AAC Justice®	37	154	0	5	0.5	22.8	White	G	R	. (D.	1	1
AAC Kongsore	4	145	1	20	1.0	24.5 V	Vhite/Light Gre	y G	R	MS	1	R
AC Morgan	36	144	-1	15	-1.0	25.2	White	G	1	S	S	MS
CDC Arborg@	25	155	-2	19	0.2	20.5	White	VG	R	1	S	S
CDC Big Brown	42	142	-1	3	0	20.4	Tan	G	R	R	MS	MS
CDC Dancer@	40	137	-3	13	0.5	19.6	White	G	R	1	1	MS
CDC Endure@	14	157	-1	13	-1.1	20.9	White	VG	R	MR	S	1
CDC Haymaker (F)0	37	125	2	25	-1.0	22.0	White	G	MR	S	S	-
CDC Minstrel@	46	146	0	8	-0.2	22.3	White	VG	R	MS	1	MS
CDC Morrison	13	134	-2	-3	-1.0	24.4	White	VG	R	MS	1	T
CDC Norseman@	31	149	-3	5	-1.0	20.5	White	G	MS	MR	S	1
CDC Ruffian@	39	150	0	0	-0.8	20.5	White	G	R	1	S	S
CDC Skye@	6	138	-2	11	0.5	19.6	White	G	R	R	S	_
CS Camden@	34	157	2	0	-1.5	21.1	White	VG	1	MS	S	S
Furlong@	72	136	-1	20	0.5	20.3	Tan	G	R	S	1	MR
HiFi@	62	144	-3	15	0	24.7	White	G	MS	1	1	MR
Jordan@	52	146	2	8	-2.0	23.6	White	VG	R	1	1	MR
Leggett@	144	142	0	0	0	23.0	White	G	R	R	1	MS
ORe3541M@	25	141	-2	-2	0.6	23.3	White	VG	R	R	S	MS
ORe3542M0	25	143	-1	-2	-1.1	24.4	White	VG	R	R	S	S
Pinnacle®	50	153	3	10	-1.2	23.7	White	G	R	S	1	MS
Ronald	45	135	0	3	0	22.1	White	VG	R	S	1	MR
Souris@	60	141	-4	0	0.5	20.9	White	G	R	MS	MR	MS
Stride	39	141	-2	13	0.6	23.7	White	VG	R	R	1	1
Summit@	62	148	0	-3	0.5	20.8	White	G	R	1	1	1
Triactor	43	160	-1	8	-1.5	22.1	White	VG	1	MR	S	MS
Varieties being tested for	adaptab	oility in We	estern Cana	ada								
AkinaØ	41	155	-4	0	0.8	25.6	White	G	R	R	-	-
Bradley	30	140	2	8	-	21.7	White	G	R	MS	MS	MS
Karat	41	154	-3	-3	1.6	26.3	White	G	MR	MR	-	-
Kyron®	14	153	-3	3	0.9	26.6	White	G	_	-	-	-
Varieties supported for re	egistratio	n										
CFA15020	14	157	1	1	1.0	28.0	White	G	-	MR	-	MR
OT2122	6	155	-2	11	-1.0	25.9		G	R	1	1	1
GRAND MEAN (bu/acre)		146										
ISD (bu/acro) (0.05)												

What to look for:

- Market class
- Yield and quality
- Days to maturity

Plus

- Disease resistance
- Height

Source: Seed Manitoba, 2021





Smut in wheat

Kyron@

Seed borne diseases a problem in organic

153

-3

3

14

	Sito		Maturity	Height	Toet Wt					eictance t	0.	
Variety ¹	Years	Yield bu/acre	+/- 96 days	+/- 84 cm	+/- 39.3 lb/bu	Hull %	Hull Colour	Lodging	Smut	Crown Rust	Stem ² Rust	BYD ³
AAC Justice®	37	154	0	5	0.5	22.8	White	G	R	1	1	1
AAC Kongsore	4	145	1	20	1.0	24.5	White/Light Gre	y G	R	MS	1	R
AC Morgan	36	144	-1	15	-1.0	25.2	White	G	1	S	S	MS
CDC Arborg@	25	155	-2	19	0.2	20.5	White	VG	R	1	S	S
CDC Big Brown	42	142	-1	3	0	20.4	Tan	G	R	R	MS	MS
CDC Dancer@	40	137	-3	13	0.5	19.6	White	G	R	1	1	MS
CDC Endure®	14	157	-1	13	-1.1	20.9	White	VG	R	MR	S	1
CDC Haymaker (F)0	37	125	2	25	-1.0	22.0	White	G	MR	S	S	-
CDC Minstrel®	46	146	0	8	-0.2	22.3	White	VG	R	MS	1	MS
CDC Morrison@	13	134	-2	-3	-1.0	24.4	White	VG	R	MS	1	1
CDC Norseman®	31	149	-3	5	-1.0	20.5	White	G	MS	MR	S	1
CDC Ruffian@	39	150	0	0	-0.8	20.5	White	G	R	1	S	S
CDC Skye@	6	138	-2	11	0.5	19.6	White	G	R	R	S	_
CS Camden@	34	157	2	0	-1.5	21.1	White	VG	1	MS	S	S
Furlong	72	136	-1	20	0.5	20.3	Tan	G	R	S	1	MR
HiFi@	62	144	-3	15	0	24.7	White	G	MS	1	1	MR
Jordan	52	146	2	8	-2.0	23.6	White	VG	R	1	1	MR
Leggett@	144	142	0	0	0	23.0	White	G	R	R	1	MS
ORe3541M@	25	141	-2	-2	0.6	23.3	White	VG	R	R	S	MS
ORe3542M@	25	143	-1	-2	-1.1	24.4	White	VG	R	R	S	S
Pinnacle®	50	153	3	10	-1.2	23.7	White	G	R	S	1	MS
Ronald	45	135	0	3	0	22.1	White	VG	R	S	1	MR
Souris	60	141	-4	0	0.5	20.9	White	G	R	MS	MR	MS
Stride	39	141	-2	13	0.6	23.7	White	VG	R	R	1	1
Summit@	62	148	0	-3	0.5	20.8	White	G	R	1	1	1
Triactor®	43	160	-1	8	-1.5	22.1	White	VG	1	MR	S	MS
Varieties being tested t	for adaptab	oility in We	estern Cana	ada								
Akina®	41	155	-4	0	0.8	25.6	White	G	R	R	-	-
Bradley®	30	140	2	8		21.7	White	G	R	MS	MS	MS
Kara®	41	154	-3	-3	1.6	26.3	White	G	MR	MR	-	-

0.9

26.6

Nhite

CANADA WESTERN RED SPRING WHEAT

				Yield C	ategory Brandon)	Agronomic Characteristics: Disease Tolerance:										Tolerance:	and
				I'S WHC	a anasnij	Maturity		Agron		aracteria		Resist	atance	UIS	rease		1 - A
	Most Recent Year of	Overall Station Years of	Overall	Low < 77	High≥ 77	Rating (Days +/- AAC	Protein	Test Weight	TKW	Height	Awns	Lodg-	Sprout-	5	Stri		P
Variety	Testing	Testing	Yield	(bu/ac)	(bu/ac)	Brandon)	(%)	(lb/bu)	(g)	(cm)	(Y/N)	ing	ing	Bunt	Ru		VG
AAC Deceder (hudse)			70	field and a	agronomic	data only di	rectly con	parable t	o AAC I	Brandon							
AAC Brandon (bu/ac)	2022	101	100	100	100	104	14.0	67	20	0.4	v	6	D	e	м		A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWN
AAC Brandon - check to	2010	27	04	07	07	0	-0.2	63	.39	01	v	0	VG	3	M	A STATE AND A STATE OF A	Sec. Company C
AAC Anud VD · O	2019	30	105	103	106	0	-0.2	63	40	86	v	VE	F	p	M		
AAC Comment @	2016	24	07	02	106	.1	0.2	67	40	99	N	VG	6				
AAC Connery O	2010	15	103	105	100	0	-0.5	64	30	84	Y	E	E	1	M		a martin and a second
AAC Hockley ®*	2020	19	100	95	105	1	01	64	34	82	v	VG	G	p			制 法保护 法国际
AAC Hodae VB @*	2022	31	103	101	105	-1	-0.3	63	37	91	Y	G	F	R			Strang a Ward Barry
AAC LeRoy VB ®	2021	29	100	101	99	0	-0.2	63	39	88	Ý	G	G	i.	M	www.branimirphoto.ca SK-160	8-3319 G
AAC Magnet @	2020	36	93	94	93	-2	0.0	63	40	90	Y	VG	F	5		MR	
AAC Redberry	2017	37	94	94	94	-3	-0.3	63	41	90	Y	F	G	1	F	RI	F.
AAC Redstar @*	2022	31	96	92	101	-2	0.0	63	36	90	-	402	-1-	S MARCO		and the second s	E
AAC Russell VB	2021	30	104	103	104	-1	-0.2	63	39	87		1	1 1		1	11	2 1000
AAC Starbuck VB	2020	36	10.3	104	102	0	-0.2	63	39	87		12		n ves	k)		6
AAC Tisdale † @	2017	37	94	94	94	-1	0.6	63	42	93	1 1		Ju-/A	1/	11		E F
AAC Viewfield @	2022	50	103	99	106	0	-0.3	63	37	81		128		50.3			A STATE OF
AAC Warman VB 1 🖄	2020	36	94	93	94	-1	-0.4	63	38	.99	1.1	APP 1	C COM	C/S			F.
AAC Wheatland VB @	2020	36	104	104	104	0	-0,5	63	40	86			Series	11/1	13		F
Carberry 💩	2021	59	94	92	95	0	0.1	63	39	84	11-		1 3/		10		
CDC Abound @	2010	88	101	100	105	-1	-0,1	63	40	87	11	1-1-	1/	ALL.	11		G
CDC Adamant VB 🙆	2018	37	98	98	97	-1	-0.2	63	39	88	1	- 100	K LI	1×			F
CDC Go	2019	60	95	93	96	-1	0.0	62	44	92		¥ 4	mol 2				
CDC Hughes VB 🕲	2018	37	96	96	96	-1	-0.2	63	44	87	h	-R	PP/	X	1		G INT
CDC Landmark VB @	2019	50	99	98	100	-1	-0.2	63	43	88	110	Ela /		X	1		E
CDC Ortona 🛛	2020	36	99	98	100	-1	-0,4	63	35	93	1ª	112	11	21			Charles Alle
CDC Pilar CLPlus @*	2021	30	98	98	98	-1	-0,5	62	38	78	-	6 M	21		1		F
CDC Plentiful + 🏟	2014	41	92	XX	XX	-2	-0.2	64	35	94	10	1200			1		E Contraction
CDC Silas 🕅	2022	31	99	97	101	0	-0.2	62	36	87	-	No. 1	and the second				1000000 L 10000
CDC SKRush @*	2022	31	100	97	104	-1	-0.1	63	33	93	a	F		11 -			P
CDC Stanley &	2013	76	98	100	101	-1	-0.1	63	34	97	19		es- and				

Sprout-

6.

Source: Alberta Agriculture





e 11 Iville, AB

111

AAC Tradition

10-11

Attil

hede.

Selected under organic by scientists

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada The March B











Line 9A Fort Vermillion, AB

1 Stint

State 1

And in case of the local division of the loc

AL SAL IN

Selected under organic by farmers







Source: M. Carkner

Barley – by variety









Oat variety response to intercropping

Oat varieties grown alone and as intercrops with pea and hairy vetch, Glenlea, Manitoba, 2021. Flood and Entz, unpublished

Seeding rate



Waskada 350 plants/m²

June 16 2016



Cardale 150 plants/m²

Cardale 250 plants/m² Cardale 350 plants/m²

June 16 2016

Wheat – by seeding rate – yield









Fig. 3. The effect of seeding rate on grain yield of organically grown field pea. Points represent the mean of 4 site-years. Bars indicate standard error of the means.



Fig. 4. Return for organic field pea combined for 4 site-years. Bars indicate standard error of the means. Regression lines indicate average price received (*), 2005 selling price (---), and 2006 selling price (...).

Baird, J.M., Walley, F.L. and Shirtliffe, S.J., 2009. Optimal seeding rate for organic production of field pea in the northern Great Plains. *Canadian journal of plant science*, *89*(3), pp.455-464.

Soybean Cultivar Response to Planting Date and Seeding Rate under Organic Management. 2011. Jeffrey A. Coulter,* Craig C. Sheaffer, Milton J. Haar, Donald L. Wyse, and James H. Orf. University of Minnesota

- Minnesota organic soybean growers using mechanical weed control do not need to adjust cultivar selection or seeding rate according to planting date.
- Lack of a seeding rate effect on weed density at harvest and soybean yield indicates <u>no advantage for organic growers to</u> <u>increase seeding rate from 160,000 to 220,0000 seeds/acre,</u> <u>assuming that soybean density at harvest is at least 120,000</u> plants/acre with the low seeding rate.

http://www.misa.umn.edu/prod/groups/cfans/@pub/@cfans/@misa/documents/asset/cfans_asset_380295.pdf



Seeding depth





2.5" (5 cm) Seeding Depth

Deep seeding reduces yield potential



Location of crown (contains all the buds for future leaves and roots)

Seeding depth

Deep seeding challenges ability of coleoptile to protect first leaf in cereals.





Coleoptile measurements in wheat: UM, unpublished



Coleoptile measurements in wheat: UM, unpublished



Coleoptile Length (cm)



Barley Seeded Depth Effect on Final Yield







Photo: Scott Beaton, Rosser, Manitoba

- Crop cultivar selection
- Seed testing
- Seeding rates
- Seeding depth
- Seed size 4
- Intercrop options
- Forages and cover crops

Wheat sieved using industry sieve sizes

"x"/64 x ¾ inch







Wheat Seed Size Effect on Final Yield



Source 2

0

Source 1



Yield

30



33

Source 3

1" Seeding Depth Small Size

1" Seeding Depth Medium Size

June 16 2016

1" Seeding Depth Large Size

2.5" Seeding Depth Small Size

2.5" Seeding Depth Medium Size 2.5" Seeding Depth Large Size

June 16 2016



Gold star goes to the combination of shallow seeding and large seed

Beneficial to sunlight capture and weed competition



1" Seeding Depth Large Size



Oat Seed Size Effect on Final Yield



Using larger seeds means using a larger volume of seed per unit area of land during planting. One question therefore regards the economics of planting a larger volume of seed compared with a smaller volume of seed. Results from our study could be used to test the economic implication of increasing seed volume per hectare through the use of larger seeds. For example with barley, an investment in an extra 65 or 43 kg ha⁻¹ of seed (2015 and 2016, respectively) resulted in a grain yield increase of 871 and 339 kg ha⁻¹ for the 2 years. For oat, an investment of an extra 56 and 47 kg ha of seed (2015 and 2016, respectively) resulted in grain yield increases of 607 and 277 kg ha⁻¹ for the 2 years. Therefore, averaged across years and crops, our results show that for each 1 kg ha⁻¹ invested in seed, the return was 10 kg ha⁻¹ of grain; a 10 to 1 return on investment. This example

How best to get the extra seed mass

- Increased seeding rate?
- Increased seed mass/size?
- Combination?

Stanley, K.A. and Entz, M.H., 2019. Can large seed size compensate for deep seeding in organic barley (Hordeum vulgare) and oat (Avena sativa) production? An assessment of farm-saved seed. *Organic Agriculture*, *9*(4), pp.373-381.





Tine Weeder/ broadcast seeder

Seeding systems for forage and cover crop establishment



Forages and cover crops

Clover which was relay-seeded into fall rye



The Prairie Organic Development Fund

- Investment platform established to develop organic agriculture and marketing in the Canadian Prairies
- Builds resilience in the sector by investing in
 - organic provincial associations (Capacity Fund); and
 - high impact programs (Innovation Fund) related to marketing, research, policy, education and capacity development that have broad public benefit to the organic sector.



To learn more about PODF: www.organicdevelopmentfund.org

For more organic production resources visit: www.pivotandgrow.com